

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

LAVELLE PATTERSON,
Plaintiff,

v.

CHESTER POLICE, *et al.*,
Defendants.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL ACTION NO. 18-CV-4410

ORDER

AND NOW, this 18th day of January, 2019, upon consideration of *pro se* Plaintiff Lavelle Patterson's second Motion for Leave to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (ECF No. 13) and Second Amended Complaint (ECF No. 14), it is **ORDERED** that:

1. The second Motion for Leave to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* is **DENIED as unnecessary** because Patterson has already been granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

2. The Second Amended Complaint is **DISMISSED** for the reasons set forth in the Court's Memorandum. Patterson's federal claims pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 are **DISMISSED with prejudice**. Any state law claims that Patterson is raising are **DISMISSED without prejudice** for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Patterson may not file a third amended complaint in this matter.

3. The Clerk of Court shall **CLOSE** this case.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ **Michael M. Baylson**

MICHAEL M. BAYLSON, J.